

COURT OF JUSTICE REPORT

Statement and Request for Investigation

Concerning Uncle and the 2022-2024 Observations

Source reviewed: combinedUncle.txt | 51 substantive source units | 4,198 raw lines

Prepared July 1, 2026 from combinedUncle.txt

Status: Allegation summary, evidence map, and investigative lead memorandum. This document supports lawful review; it does not determine guilt or punishment.

Contents

1. Scope and Method
2. Preliminary Conditional Statement
3. Executive Summary
4. Subject Identification and Attribution Limits
5. Uncle, Illinois, and Post-Death Housing Chronology
6. Aunt or Youngest Uncle's Wife
7. Eileen and Family Relay Allegations
8. Sister and Sister's Husband Acting Together
9. Mother, Facility, Death, and Health Branches
10. Defamation, Verification Failure, and Family Scapegoating
11. Public Display, Blindness, and Relay Control
12. Ideas, Rewards, Work, and Alleged Hijacking
13. Money, Support, Betrayal, and Leverage
14. Housing, Hygiene, and Safety Environment
15. Distress Language and Risk Handling
16. Legal Mapping for Authorities
17. Evidence Preservation and Investigation Requests
18. Conclusion
19. Appendix A. Source Index
20. Appendix B. Official U.S. Authorities Consulted

Source Extraction Summary

Metric	Result
Uploaded source reviewed	combinedUncle.txt
Internal source period detected	2022-2024
Raw source length	4,198 lines / 148 KB
Detected source units	51
Substantive source units	51
Uncle, aunt, family, cousin, sister, mother, Wongi, Eileen, or Chloe themes	45 source-unit hits
Sister, husband, violence, lie, rumor, slander, alienation, or calculation themes	35 source-unit hits
Mother, facility, aneurysm, cryonics, poison, refund, death, or health themes	40 source-unit hits
Danger, target, attack, abuse, threat, harm, stalking, harassment, or fear themes	38 source-unit hits
Privacy, public display, blindness, camera, screen, watching, channel, or account themes	29 source-unit hits
Money, support, rent, welfare, reward, funding, apartment, or investment themes	37 source-unit hits
Idea, work, project, presentation, ship, competition, reward, Musk, Adam, Steve, Zuckerberg, or OpenAI themes	37 source-unit hits
Law, court, judge, authority, investigation, evidence, charge, criminal, or police themes	27 source-unit hits
Relay, channel, YouTube, thumbnail, message, email, Instagram, Facebook, post, or chat themes	45 source-unit hits
Maybe, perhaps, guess, believe, doubt, speculation, unsure, I think, or conditional if themes	45 source-unit hits
Apology, sorry, fair, forgive, peace, please, understand, rights, or minimum standards themes	36 source-unit hits
Suicide, pain, torment, hell, suffer, impossible, anguish, or severe anger themes	32 source-unit hits

Scope and Method

This report synthesizes the reporting party's 2022-2024 observations concerning uncle, Wongi's dad, aunt or youngest uncle's wife, Eileen, sister, sister's husband, cousin Mark, and related public-figure or platform relays.

The extraction reviewed combinedUncle.txt and identified 51 substantive source units. This report groups recurring fact patterns and uses representative source IDs rather than reproducing the full archive.

This document is an allegation and investigative-lead memorandum. It supports preservation and lawful assessment; it does not determine guilt, punishment, intellectual-property ownership, or liability.

Preliminary Conditional Statement

All factual assertions below are the reporting party's observations, interpretations, and allegations. If these events occurred as described, they should be tested through family communications, travel and housing records, platform records, emails, medical or facility records, and witness statements.

The archive contains severe distress, threats, anger, and punishment rhetoric. This report treats that language as impact evidence and a request for lawful accountability, not as a request for extrajudicial harm.

Relay-based evidence must be authenticated. A perceived relay, YouTube thumbnail, public post, channel signal, or family message is an investigative lead until records show authorship, timing, audience, targeting, and intent.

Executive Summary

The central allegation is that uncle and related family actors accepted sister-origin narratives, failed to verify the reporting party's side, helped isolate him after mother's death, and later participated in or enabled relay-based antagonism and idea/reward disputes.

The strongest repeated branches are the Illinois and Vanuatu chronology after mother's death; aunt or youngest uncle's wife relaying information and discussing conservatorship; Eileen's alleged role in expulsion and sister relays; sister and husband acting together; uncle defending them without verification; and idea-origin/reward conflict involving cousins and public figures.

The strongest lawful request is preservation of chronology: what uncle knew, what sister sent, what Eileen or aunt relayed, whether housing/help promises changed, whether family communications were shown to others, and whether later idea/reward activity used the reporting party's work while he was blind to the channel.

Subject Identification and Attribution Limits

The primary subject is uncle, repeatedly identified as Wongi's dad or the youngest uncle depending on source context. The file also names aunt or youngest uncle's wife, Eileen, sister, sister's husband, Wongi, cousin Mark, Adam, Steve, Musk, Zuckerberg/Facebook, and authorities.

The archive often combines direct memory, family history, perceived relay interpretation, and legal conclusion. Those categories should remain separate until authenticated records establish who acted, who repeated claims, and what each person intended.

This report therefore distinguishes uncle-linked direct allegations from inferred relays, sister-origin stories, Eileen/aunt conduct, cousin or public-figure conduct, and severe distress language.

Uncle, Illinois, and Post-Death Housing Chronology

Sources 2622, 4142, 4173, 4218, and nrdy56646656 describe a promised or expected six-month stay at uncle's Illinois home after mother's death, followed by blame, silence, and eventual departure toward Vanuatu.

The reporting party says a sister message to uncle was timed to affect his housing outcome and that uncle later presented a public-goodwill version inconsistent with emails, silence, and refusal to reply.

Investigators should preserve emails to uncle and Wongi's mom, travel records, Delta and facility timing where relevant, the Vanuatu transition, any loan/repayment discussions, and messages sent by sister or Eileen during the Illinois period.

Aunt or Youngest Uncle's Wife

Sources 2868, 2872, 2918, 3791, 4093, 4178, and 4803 allege that aunt or youngest uncle's wife relayed information against explicit boundaries, harassed or scolded mother while mother was medically vulnerable, threatened legal action, mocked misfortune, and discussed conservatorship or money.

The reporting party apologizes in several places for angry tone while insisting the anger arose from perceived betrayal, mother-related harm, and continuing attempts to trigger or control him.

Investigators should preserve family chats, conservatorship-related communications, messages involving mother, any channel statements by this relative, and evidence of whether private information was relayed after an instruction not to do so.

Eileen and Family Relay Allegations

Sources 0908, 3852, 4010, 4562, 5250, 7362, and hrd6y46546 allege Eileen and family actors accepted sister's narrative, failed to verify, helped isolate the reporting party, and treated his reactions as proof while omitting initiating conduct.

The report treats Eileen-related statements as central because the archive connects her to the Illinois expulsion, relays to sister, alleged suicide-related nihilism, and later conservatorship or channel behavior.

Relevant evidence includes Eileen/sister messages, uncle/Eileen communications, family group chats, direct statements about suicide or conservatorship, and any messages showing whether she presented reaction-only stories to relatives.

Sister and Sister's Husband Acting Together

Sources 1635468466, 4525, 5549, 6010, and hrd6y46546 allege that sister and her husband worked together through food, gaslighting, slander, mother-related harm, and narrative control. The reporting party repeatedly rejects claims that he initiated violence and says his reactions were verbal responses to prior attacks.

The report does not determine medical causation or criminal liability. It identifies the claimed pattern: sister customized accusations to damage image, husband supported or participated, and family members accepted those claims without direct verification.

Investigators should preserve chats, food-related messages, occupation and schedule records where relevant, medical records where lawfully available, reconciliation emails, and statements made by sister or husband to uncle, cousins, or authorities.

Mother, Facility, Death, and Health Branches

Sources 0391, 0908, 2918, 4093, 4173, 4218, 4525, 5007, 5549, 6010, and uiyiu788 connect the uncle file to mother vulnerability, aneurysm aftermath, facility or cryonics account issues, post-death grief, and childhood domestic-abuse context.

The reporting party frames mother-related conduct as the emotional and factual core of the harm: he believed family actors acted during or after critical medical vulnerability, then used his grief and reactions against him.

Investigators should avoid treating grief language as proof of instability alone. The evidentiary task is to compare medical/facility records, family messages, travel chronology, account/refund records, and witness statements.

Defamation, Verification Failure, and Family Scapegoating

Sources 0908, 4010, 4562, 7068, 7349, 7362, hrd6y46546, and nr dy56646656 allege a long family pattern of assumption, prejudice, derogation, bridge-burning, and scapegoating.

The reporting party argues uncle became culpable if he defended sister and husband while refusing to ask basic questions, omitted initiating conduct, or used family authority to validate false narratives.

Investigators should map each alleged story to its speaker, date, recipient, and available correction.

Reaction-only accounts should be compared against earlier initiating conduct and direct rebuttal records.

Public Display, Blindness, and Relay Control

Sources 3222, 4218, 4493, 5563, 5665, 5862, huyiuy76, and oiuiuy66687 describe blindness, channel control, public display, YouTube relays, and the feeling that others could shape narratives while the reporting party lacked equal access to evidence.

The report treats relay observations as leads rather than conclusive proof. They are important because the reporting party says relays affected his housing, safety, work, reputation, and perceived legal standing.

Investigators should preserve viewer lists, channel records, screenshots, recommendation records, account logs, deletion histories, public posts, and any evidence showing who could see or distribute private material.

Ideas, Rewards, Work, and Alleged Hijacking

Sources 0391, 1714, 2762, 4283, 4493, 4599, 5547, 5563, 5665, 6324, gyuty687, and hty47647547 concern rewards, investment, idea origin, ship/work concepts, public actors, and alleged family or cousin follow-up.

The reporting party says uncle and family actors should not benefit from, reverse, or hijack his work after helping create danger, isolation, and blindness. He also asks public actors not to judge family facts through unverified narratives.

Evidence requests include original presentation files, drafts, timestamps, emails, public-figure communications, platform records, cousin or family project materials, and any reward or support discussions.

Money, Support, Betrayal, and Leverage

Sources 2197, 2868, 2872, 4173, 4218, 4599, 5547, 6447, and nr dy56646656 connect money, loans, rewards, family support, help to uncle, and the alleged betrayal of later supporting those accused of harming him.

The reporting party's position is that financial help and reward prospects became leverage: family members allegedly used support narratives to excuse abandonment or later involvement in his work path.

Investigators should preserve loan records, repayment messages, support requests, financial assistance to uncle or family, reward/investment communications, and any statements linking financial help to narrative control.

Housing, Hygiene, and Safety Environment

Sources 3088, 3121, 5007, 5604, 5665, and huihiuyy768 document shelter or housing stress, dirty bedding, possible sickness transfer, cough/mold concerns, room preparation, and conflict with an older man on the floor.

These entries are not merely background. They show the reporting party's claimed vulnerability while larger family and public-figure relays were occurring, and why delay or public pressure felt physically unsafe.

Relevant records include shelter/housing logs, incident reports, maintenance or hygiene records, photos if available, medical notes for cough/vertigo, and any communications about the older man or conflict.

Distress Language and Risk Handling

The archive includes explicit violent threat language, severe anger, suicide references, and punishment demands, especially in sources huiyuy76, 0908, 2918, 4178, 4803, 5250, and oiuiuy66687.

This report does not endorse threats or violence. The lawful significance is impact evidence: the reporting party perceived ongoing violation, family betrayal, mother-related harm, and idea exploitation as existential threats.

Authorities should separate immediate safety needs from rhetoric, de-escalate contact, preserve records, and test objective claims without using raw distress language as a substitute for factual analysis.

Legal Mapping for Authorities

18 U.S.C. 2261A and 875 may be relevant only if authenticated interstate or foreign communications show stalking, surveillance, threats, fear, or substantial emotional distress with required jurisdictional facts and intent.

18 U.S.C. 1030 may be relevant only if unauthorized computer, account, channel, email, or platform access occurred. The archive alleges public-display, hidden-content, and account concerns, but application requires access records and authorization facts.

18 U.S.C. 1343 and 371 may be relevant only if a wire-fraud scheme or agreement to commit a federal offense can be proven. Reward, investment, and idea-origin disputes require records of promises, wires, deception, agreement, and money or property interests.

18 U.S.C. 1512, 1519, and 1001 require official-proceeding, federal-investigation, federal-record, or federal-jurisdiction predicates. If evidence was altered, deleted, concealed, or misrepresented, investigators should identify actor, forum, materiality, and intent.

Evidence Preservation and Investigation Requests

Preserve communications involving uncle, aunt or youngest uncle's wife, Eileen, sister, sister's husband, Wongi, cousin Mark, Adam, Steve, Musk, Zuckerberg/Facebook, and any authority or platform actor referenced in combinedUncle.txt.

Preserve emails, reconciliation records, family chats, channel links, viewer lists, screenshots, YouTube or recommendation records, account logs, deletion histories, and any records of public display or private material sharing.

Preserve mother-related records where lawfully available: facility communications, cryonics or refund/account records, travel timing, medical context, and communications about mother's condition or death.

Interview the reporting party with combinedUncle.txt available so he can map source IDs, relays, and chronology, then independently test each branch against records and witnesses.

Conclusion

The uncle archive supports a focused investigation into alleged post-death housing betrayal, sister-origin defamation accepted by uncle and relatives, aunt/Eileen relays, sister and husband acting together, mother-related harm, public-display concerns, and idea/reward exploitation.

The strongest lawful next step is preservation of complete records and independent chronology before accepting any family narrative, public relay, or idea-origin claim.

A fair process should separate uncle's alleged direct acts from sister conduct, sister's husband conduct, Eileen/aunt conduct, cousin/public-figure conduct, and ambiguous relays while recognizing the severe impact the reporting party describes.

Appendix A. Source Index

Source ID	Observation Use
0391	Minimum-protection branch: says political/reward prospects and public attention exposed the reporting party to danger and scapegoating.
0908	Sister-to-uncle branch: describes sister's alleged callous message to uncle after mother's death and an alienation/isolation pattern.
1635468466	Sister/husband poisoning branch: alleges sister and husband acted together around California-roll food and asks investigators to check chats and occupation history.
1714	Competition and work branch: frames wider public antagonism as a war against work, mother-related pain, and idea development.
2197	Uncle betrayal branch: says uncle was warned, later received money/support, turned toward family, and financially assisted people pursuing harm.
2622	Illinois message branch: alleges sister sent a timed message to uncle shortly after arrival in Illinois, contributing to expulsion after mother's death.
2762	Uncle-death/work branch: warns that using uncle's death to topple the reporting party's work or legacy would be deliberate harm.
2868	Aunt/conservatorship branch: says youngest uncle's wife or aunt relayed information despite boundaries, threatened suit, mocked misfortune, and discussed conservatorship.
2872	Aunt pursuit branch: alleges years of betrayal, money motive, conservatorship talk, and harassment through relaying information to people named as abusers.
2918	Mom-harassment branch: says a relative harassed mother, relayed information despite instructions, and timed a message to uncle when the reporting party had nowhere to go.
3088	Toilet/flood correction branch: corrects responsibility for a toilet flood while preserving context of vertigo, shelter conditions, and conflict.
3121	Dirty bedding branch: records concerns about dirty or changed bedding and lack of clear evidence for how it happened.
3222	Blindness/prey branch: says segregation, blindness, and uncle-linked manipulation made the reporting party a target while others controlled the narrative.
3783	Family ambiguity branch: says love for family was genuine but later replaced by bitterness over ambiguity, support for each other, and lack of accountability.
3791	Youngest uncle's wife branch: describes anger over alleged assault or mistreatment of mother while critically ill and apologizes for emotional display.
3852	Eileen/shaman branch: describes family bitterness, statements about Wongi's dad, and Eileen's alleged suicide-related nihilism.
4010	Assumption branch: says youngest uncle shared Eileen's assumption-first attitude, with discrimination, derogation, and mockery.
4093	Sick mother branch: alleges youngest uncle's wife attacked or scolded mother after aneurysm surgery and connects anger to mother vulnerability.
4142	Illinois agreement branch: says uncle publicly suggested he was not told, while the reporting party says he requested a six-month stay and later received blame and silence.
4173	Borrowed-money branch: connects reconciliation emails, cousin loans, uncle's Illinois agreement, Vanuatu, and cryonics-account repayment intentions.
4178	Family-discrimination branch: flags anger toward Eileen and youngest uncle's wife for alleged discrimination and mother-related injury.

Source ID	Observation Use
4218	Post-death chronology branch: lays out mother's flight/facility, Illinois expulsion, email to Wongi's mom, Vanuatu, and alleged homelessness excuse.
4283	Insurrection/Adam-Mark branch: says Adam or Mark relays should be charged if accurate and defends the reporting party's intent through Michael Lee and context.
4493	Mark/uncle-death branch: warns cousin Mark not to use uncle's death as an excuse for pursuit of harm or reward-seeking destruction.
4525	Sister narrative branch: says sister customizes stories to destroy image and fears channel conflict could affect mother.
4562	Unverified family branch: says uncles did not verify sister's claims, accepted prejudice, and treated the reporting party as an outcast.
4599	Reward uncertainty branch: says Musk or others may change or lower rewards while disturbing idea development.
4803	Mother-assault anger branch: apologizes for outburst but says anger came from mother-related harm and a family effort to destroy his path.
5007	Sheets/chocolate branch: records hygiene and sickness concerns around stained bedding and circulated sheets.
5250	Eileen conduct branch: lists alleged Eileen acts including suicide nihilism, Illinois expulsion, relays to sister, conservatorship talk, and taunting.
5547	Extended-family hijack branch: says uncle asked forgiveness, later benefited from help, then returned with family/cousins to follow and hijack ideas.
5549	Sister/husband violence branch: alleges sister's knife threats, mother-related harm, slander, and husband's role; insists he reacted with words and never hit sister.
5563	Rewards/Kristina branch: connects U.S. rewards and investment with Kristina closure, recordings, and reaction-based anger.
5604	Work preparation branch: records preparation for a long work period, health/room concerns, and need for limits in relays.
5665	Record-pushed-behind branch: says records may be hidden or framed while ideas are followed, and describes practical barriers to work.
5862	Steve/framing branch: describes alleged threat-control tactics, Walmart/public pursuit, channel doubt creation, and legal framing concerns.
6010	Sister's husband branch: alleges sister's husband tortured or gaslit the reporting party and mother, gloated after mother's death, and later defended sister's wrongdoing.
6324	Mark reversal branch: says Mark's pursuit of reversing ideas, if beyond criticism, should be treated as serious misconduct.
6447	Uncle-help betrayal branch: says uncle was helped at the reporting party's request, then helped others turn against him and enabled harassment/manipulation.
7068	Cliff-climbing branch: recalls uncle's risk-related talk and speculates he viewed the reporting party as a competitor to his sons.
7349	Wongi bridge-burning branch: says sister may have twisted comments about Wongi to burn bridges, while Wongi should have clarified directly.
7362	Misled-judge branch: asks Musk not to judge personal life from family narratives, noting trauma, isolation, and the family's failure to verify.
7825	Father-death accusation branch: says cousin Mark should not blame the reporting party for uncle/father death after he only spoke about what happened.

Source ID	Observation Use
gyuty687	Idea-origin branch: says ideas were his, content was hidden, and public actors or family should not mislead about origin.
hiuhiuuy768	Housing-conflict branch: describes conflict with an older man on the floor, kitchen aggression, bedbug concerns, and need to de-escalate.
hrd6y46546	Obstruction/prejudice branch: says uncle defended sister and husband without being in Canada, accepted reaction-only stories, and ignored attacks initiating conflict.
hty47647547	Extended-family ideas branch: says Steve may have defended idea authenticity and that uncle should be charged if he orchestrated children against the reporting party.
huyiu76	Severe threat/distress branch: contains explicit violent threat language toward Steve, later restraint language, and broader claims of ongoing violation and framing.
nrdy56646656	Uncle silence branch: says uncle appeared moral while abandoning him, sent a painful email about mother's soul, then stopped replying and accepted sister's narrative.
oiuiuy66687	Prison request branch: asks that uncle and supporters face lawful accountability if relays show jealousy/envy and chargeable conduct.
uiyiu788	Childhood abuse branch: recalls severe childhood beating by father, mother's response, and how domestic-abuse history informs later vulnerability.

Appendix B. Official U.S. Authorities Consulted

These sources were consulted as legal routing references. They do not prove that any statute applies without jurisdictional facts and evidence.

18 U.S.C. 2261A - Stalking and cyberstalking:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=2023&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-2023-title18-section2261A>

18 U.S.C. 875 - Interstate and foreign communications containing threats:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section875>

18 U.S.C. 1030 - Fraud and related activity in connection with computers:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=%28title%3A18+section%3A1030+edition%3Aprelim>

18 U.S.C. 1343 - Wire fraud:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section1343>

18 U.S.C. 371 - Conspiracy to commit an offense or defraud the United States:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section371>

18 U.S.C. 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or informant:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&path=%2Fprelim%40title18%2Fpart1%2Fchapter73>

18 U.S.C. 1519 - Destruction, alteration, or falsification of records: <https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&f=treesort&jumpTo=true&num=0&os=eelt2&req=%28title%3A18+section%3A1519+edition%3Aprelim%29+OR+%28granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section1519%29>

18 U.S.C. 1001 - False statements in matters within U.S. jurisdiction:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section1001>