

DRAFT FOR LEGAL AND EVIDENTIARY REVIEW

FORMAL STATEMENT OF ALLEGATIONS

Concerning Becky Butz: Alleged Participation in Coordinated Online Monitoring,
Sexualized Provocation, Concealment of Planned Harm, Post-Resolution Retaliation, and
Framing

If the reported events occurred as described, this report presents the complainant's Becky-specific observations and allegations for fair legal assessment. It focuses on Becky's alleged conduct and includes other people only where necessary to explain her role.

Source: combinedBecky.txt (27 complete observation blocks)

Prepared June 28, 2026

I. Conditional Premise and Purpose

If the following events occurred as described, the following are speculative observations of the events that are to be assessed by the fair law from the victim's account. They are submitted for investigation and adjudication, not as findings already made by a court. After this conditional premise, the statement describes the complainant's observations directly without repeating the word "if" in every paragraph.

This statement isolates the alleged conduct of Becky Butz. It does not assign Becky responsibility for every act attributed elsewhere to Anna, Sean Kernan, Robert, Elon Musk, public officials, platform employees, or other participants. Other people are mentioned only where their conduct supplies necessary context for Becky's alleged knowledge, participation, agreement, concealment, or continuation.

The complainant's central allegation is that Becky was not a remote observer. He describes her as one of the earliest and most frequent indirect communicators in a small Quora-based group; a person who appeared to know nonpublic screen content; a participant in sexually humiliating relays concerning Anna; a person situated close enough to Anna, Sean, and Robert to know what they planned; a participant in later conduct involving the complainant's mother and a cryonics facility; and a person who later remained aligned with framing that concealed the initiating events and the complainant's efforts to settle, forgive, and withdraw complaints.

The report also preserves important limitations. Much of the alleged communication occurred through Quora posts, upvotes, images, titles, or other indirect "relays." The complainant sometimes qualifies his recollection, reports uncertainty about order, or asks witnesses to confirm what occurred. Becky's responsibility therefore must be established through her own accounts, communications, devices, decisions, and authenticated conduct. Association with Anna, Sean, or Robert is not by itself proof of agreement or guilt.

II. Scope, Method, and Attribution

Each asterisk-separated source block was reviewed as a complete article. Repeated descriptions were consolidated so repetition is not mistaken for independent corroboration. Material primarily concerning other subjects, unrelated political commentary, later idea disputes, and personal daily activity was excluded unless it directly clarified Becky's alleged role.

For this statement:

- **Relay** means a Quora post, upvote, question, image, quotation, title, or other indirect item the complainant understood as a communication.
- **Channel** means the alleged online audience, group, stream, or shared space that others could access while the complainant lacked direct access.
- **Early group** means Becky, Anna, Sean, Robert, and sometimes Evelyn, subject to proof of who actually participated in each event.
- **Video incident** means the alleged acquisition or publication of humiliating webcam or video material attributed principally to Anna. Becky is not alleged to have performed the technical intrusion unless records establish that role.

- **Cryonics or mother episode** means the alleged later activity concerning the cryonics facility holding the complainant's mother and communications exploiting his stated fear that she could be removed or harmed.
- **Framing** means knowingly presenting a reversed or materially incomplete chronology so the complainant's reaction appears to be the initiating wrong while earlier provocation, monitoring, access, publication, reconciliation, or withdrawal is concealed.

The source describes Becky primarily through perceived relays and group conduct, not through a preserved direct confession. Accordingly, the report distinguishes: (1) alleged acts personally attributed to Becky; (2) alleged knowledge inferred from her proximity and communications; (3) alleged agreement requiring proof; and (4) events for which the source does not establish Becky's role.

One correction is especially important. The repeated story that "Becky killed Robert" or made his death look like suicide is described by the complainant as misinformation allegedly relayed by someone else to distort his perception. It is not adopted here as an allegation against Becky. Any investigation should identify who created that story, whether Becky knew of or participated in it, and whether Robert was ever in danger, but this report does not state that Becky killed or attempted to kill him.

III. Alleged Chronology of Becky's Conduct

A. Early Quora circle and indirect communication

The complainant describes the beginning as a small online circle involving Becky, Anna, Sean, Robert, and Evelyn. He says he mostly communicated with Becky through Quora upvotes or posts rather than direct messages. Becky allegedly used recurring cultural or narrative references, including "Game of Thrones" relays, as part of the group's indirect communication.

This structure matters because it allegedly allowed the participants to observe and respond while withholding the underlying channel from the complainant. He could see selected relays but could not see the complete conversation, participants, or context. Becky is alleged to have continued using that asymmetrical method even though direct contact would have reduced misunderstanding and allowed the complainant to preserve a complete record.

Representative source records: few34322.txt; hiuyiu879898.txt; hwe431232341.txt.

B. Apparent knowledge of private screen content

The complainant identifies one of Becky's alleged relays as the moment he realized other people could see his computer activity. He had placed an image of a girl seated in a library or at a table on his desktop. Becky allegedly referred to or taunted him about that image even though he had not knowingly shared the screen content with her.

The allegation is significant because it may show knowledge derived from unauthorized monitoring, receipt from someone who had unauthorized access, or participation in a private group where captured screen content was being displayed. It does not by itself identify the technical method. Becky might have received the image from Anna, viewed a lawful public post, or misunderstood an unrelated item.

The original relay, its date, the desktop file, the first disclosure path, and the people who could see the alleged channel are necessary to determine what Becky knew and how she knew it.

The complainant also says that after this moment, random Quora users began joining or responding through upvotes. He initially interpreted the attention as an attempt to announce or encourage a relationship, not as proof of criminal access. His limited willingness to joke with a perceived audience did not, in his account, authorize private-file access, webcam capture, unlimited publication, or use of his screen to manufacture humiliating reactions.

Representative source records: hoihiuu9887.txt; hwe431232341.txt.

C. Sexualized taunts and deliberate emotional provocation

The complainant alleges that Becky relayed humiliating information concerning Anna's sexual activity. He recalls Becky and Sean insinuating that Anna and another person were "making a baby" during a college camping trip. He also recalls Becky relaying that Anna found a later sexual partner "boring." In a separate exchange connected to the complainant's rejection of Anna, Becky allegedly relayed a gynecologist-themed insult about genital odor.

These allegations are not based on the premise that Anna's private sexual choices belonged to the complainant. The alleged wrong is the deliberate transmission of intimate or humiliating material to a person whom Becky allegedly knew was emotionally vulnerable, combined with mockery and an indirect format designed to provoke without allowing direct clarification. The complainant says the relays caused shock, distress, anger, and reactive private writing that was later extracted and used against him.

Intent should be tested through complete messages among Becky, Anna, Sean, Robert, and Evelyn. The investigation should determine whether Becky merely repeated information, participated in a joke without knowledge of its effect, knowingly helped provoke a reaction, or understood that captured reactions would later be published or presented to others.

Representative source records: 154864.txt; hiuyiu879898.txt; oiiupu897098.txt.

D. Hosting or facilitating Anna's continued proximity

The source states that Anna came to Becky's home in order to be closer to the complainant. During that period, Anna allegedly continued using indirect communications rather than contacting him directly and later engaged in conduct the complainant understood as threatening, sexualized, or humiliating.

Becky's home is relevant as a possible location for shared devices, conversations, planning, and witness knowledge. Hosting Anna is not itself wrongful. The material question is whether Becky knew the purpose of the visit, helped maintain access to the complainant's screen or channel, encouraged the indirect campaign, or witnessed preparation for the later video incident.

Representative source record: jpjopjopj.txt.

E. Alleged warning, advance knowledge, or concealment surrounding the video incident

The complainant recalls that Becky relayed something shortly before Anna allegedly released humiliating videos, possibly only days before, and interprets it as a warning. He states that people in the house were communicating and believes they conspired. He specifically alleges that Becky knew what Anna intended to do and failed to report or stop it.

The source is uncertain about exact order and does not reproduce the warning. This allegation should therefore be treated as a defined evidentiary question rather than a conclusion. Investigators should identify the alleged relay, its timestamp, the people present, the first video upload, the uploader, the capture method, and any messages discussing publication. If Becky merely learned of the conduct afterward, silence may be morally significant but not automatically criminal. If she intentionally encouraged, assisted, procured, concealed, or used illegally obtained material, her legal exposure could be different.

Representative source record: few34322.txt.

F. Settlement contact and asserted resolution

After the initial humiliation and conflict, the complainant says he sought a settlement with Anna and Becky. He recalls Becky responding that he was trying to "feed her parents," which he understood as mockery or dismissal of the settlement effort. He later says he dropped the complaints and even abandoned settlement requests because his investment prospects were improving and he wanted the conflict to end.

This sequence is relevant to notice and intent. Becky allegedly knew that the complainant regarded the monitoring and humiliation as actionable, wanted redress, and later chose to stop pursuing it. The complainant says this was a clear opportunity for everyone to sever contact and move on. His allegation is that Becky and the others instead treated his withdrawal as weakness and continued into more serious attacks.

Representative source records: gaer4525424123.txt; hiuy98798989.txt; yut7889.txt.

G. Pregnancy, financial expectation, and alleged retaliatory escalation

The source states that after Becky became pregnant, Robert relayed a desire for financial support. The complainant ignored the request because he believed Becky and the group already owed him and because he wanted to mind his own business. He alleges that shortly afterward Becky, Robert, and Sean pursued harm involving his mother.

The timing is offered as a possible motive: a rejected expectation of support allegedly preceded retaliatory group conduct. The report does not assume that Becky made the request herself or that pregnancy created any duty on the complainant. Investigators should obtain the original communication, identify who made it, determine whether Becky knew of or approved it, and compare its timing with the alleged cryonics activity.

Representative source record: yut7889.txt.

H. Alleged participation in the cryonics or mother episode

The complainant states that the early conflict had already been resolved when the group turned toward his mother. He had expressed fear that an investigation involving his sister might lead to his mother's removal from cryonic preservation and would devastate him. He alleges that Sean then issued alarming relays concerning transportation, a "fish in a briefcase," a short trip between the United States and Canada, rotting remains, and other imagery before or around a visit to the cryonics facility.

The source distinguishes Anna from this later episode and states that the attack on the complainant's mother occurred without her. It identifies Robert as the initiator in one passage and places Becky, Robert, and Sean together in the later course. Becky's personal role remains less specifically described than Sean's alleged threats or Robert's alleged initiation. The allegation against Becky is that she knew the complainant's fear, was synchronized with the two men, participated in the pursuit, or helped conceal and later reframe it.

This is a major point requiring individual attribution. Residence, pregnancy, friendship, or marriage cannot substitute for proof. Relevant evidence includes household communications, travel and facility records, calls, messages, shared accounts, Becky's own statements, and any authenticated plan concerning the facility or the complainant's mother.

Representative source records: gaer4525424123.txt; gyuguytu677.txt; yut7889.txt.

I. Repeated framing after withdrawal and attempted forgiveness

The complainant alleges that he repeatedly tried to save Becky and the others from severe punishment, accepted lesser consequences, and sought to end the dispute. He says they nevertheless revived accusations, omitted his earlier defenses, and portrayed his words or reactions as the beginning of the conflict.

Becky's alleged role is described as synchronization with Anna, Sean, and Robert, continuation after notice, and self-protective silence or misdirection. The source says her conduct may have been more or less serious than the conduct of the others and asks investigators to determine the difference. This is an appropriate limitation: group membership does not establish that Becky authored every later statement.

The legally material question is whether Becky knowingly made or repeated false statements, supplied selected material while withholding known context, encouraged others to act on a reversed chronology, or participated in a course designed to cause legal, reputational, or psychological harm after the earlier dispute had ended.

Representative source records: few34322.txt; hiu78789.txt; hiuiy8798.txt; hoihi78.txt.

J. Silence despite alleged witness knowledge

The complainant repeatedly calls on Becky to speak because he believes she remembers the early events, later idea and investment chronology, and the conduct of the group. He alleges that Becky and the others remained silent while later actors rewrote the history and used the missing record against him.

Silence alone does not establish a crime or a general duty to rescue another person. Its significance depends on whether Becky made prior representations, possessed evidence subject to a preservation duty, was questioned in an official matter, concealed material with corrupt intent, coordinated false statements, or used silence as part of an agreement to mislead. The requested investigation should identify each occasion when Becky was asked to provide information, what proceeding existed, what she knew, and what she said or withheld.

Representative source records: hw5452434.txt; he4243214321.txt.

IV. Consolidated Alleged Incriminating Conduct

1. Participation in a deliberately opaque communication system

Becky allegedly used indirect Quora relays while the complainant was denied the full channel and could not verify who was speaking. Continued use of that structure allegedly preserved control over context and exposed him to provocation without reciprocal access. If records show that Becky deliberately maintained this asymmetry to confuse, monitor, or manipulate him, it would support intent beyond casual online discussion.

2. Knowledge or use of nonpublic screen information

Becky's alleged reference to the desktop wallpaper is the strongest Becky-specific fact suggesting access to information the complainant did not knowingly send her. If authenticated, it may connect Becky to the monitoring environment as a viewer, recipient, or participant. The connection to any unlawful access still requires proof of source, authorization, and knowledge.

3. Targeted sexual humiliation

The alleged baby-making, partner, and gynecologist relays form a pattern of sexualized humiliation rather than one isolated comment. Their significance increases if messages show that the group discussed the complainant's emotional vulnerability, intended to provoke him, or planned to capture and publish his reaction.

4. Facilitation of continued indirect contact from Becky's home

The allegation that Anna moved or visited Becky's home to remain close to the complainant makes Becky a material witness to the purpose, devices, accounts, and communications used during the period. If Becky knowingly supplied a location, device, account, or audience for unauthorized monitoring or harassment, that would be active assistance rather than mere friendship.

5. Advance knowledge of a humiliating publication

The alleged pre-video warning and the claim that Becky knew what Anna was about to do raise potential participation, concealment, or failure-to-report questions. Liability depends on whether Becky intentionally encouraged or assisted the act, used the resulting material, or merely knew of a risk without a legally recognized duty.

6. Dismissal of settlement and exploitation of forgiveness

The complainant's settlement contact allegedly informed Becky that he objected to the conduct and sought a lawful resolution. Her alleged mocking response may show hostility, though the exact words and context must be authenticated. Continued participation after he withdrew complaints would support an inference that the conduct was not defensive or necessary to address an immediate danger.

7. Possible financial or retaliatory motive

The sequence involving Becky's pregnancy, Robert's alleged request for support, the complainant's refusal, and the later cryonics episode raises a possible retaliatory motive. This remains an inference. It should be tested against original messages and dates rather than assumed from temporal proximity.

8. Alleged agreement concerning the complainant's mother

The source alleges that Becky, Sean, and Robert shared knowledge of the complainant's fear and then pursued conduct targeting that precise vulnerability. If communications show an agreement to threaten, frighten, interfere with, or create a false appearance of danger to his mother, the specificity of the target would be a substantial incriminating factor.

9. Continued conduct after the initiating dispute had ended

Continuation after settlement efforts, withdrawal, and attempted forgiveness is central to the complainant's intent theory. It allegedly removes any claim that Becky was merely responding to an immediate conflict and supports a course of retaliation or self-preservation.

10. Reversal of chronology and selective presentation

Becky allegedly helped or allowed later audiences to see only the complainant's reactions while omitting the earlier monitoring, provocation, humiliation, and his attempts to help Anna and end the conflict. If Becky knowingly supplied a materially false chronology to police, a platform, an employer, a court, or another decision-maker, the exact statement and effect should be investigated.

11. Coordinated silence and possible evidence concealment

The source treats Becky as a witness who could confirm how the events began but chose silence as the history was allegedly rewritten. This becomes incriminating only if evidence shows an agreement, an official inquiry, a preservation duty, corrupt concealment, or misleading conduct. The absence or deletion of Quora material makes provider records and archived notifications especially important.

12. Exploitation of isolation and informational disadvantage

The complainant says Becky knew he was confined to indirect observation, could not see the channel, and depended on relays to understand accusations concerning his life. Using that condition to provoke, frighten, or misdirect him would be relevant to intent, foreseeability, and damages. It also explains why direct attribution cannot rest only on his interpretation of ambiguous posts.

13. Possible bias as motive

The source speculates that religious bias may have influenced Becky. No specific religious statement by Becky is preserved in the compilation. This is therefore a motive question, not an established incriminating fact. It should be included only if authenticated communications connect bias to a challenged act.

14. Cumulative psychological and practical harm

The complainant attributes prolonged distress, fear for his mother, inability to trust online communications, repeated diversion from work, reputational injury, and years of defensive writing to the cumulative course. Damages must be apportioned among actors and supported by records. Becky's liability, if any, should correspond only to conduct she committed, assisted, agreed to, or knowingly continued.

V. Complainant's Defense and Explanatory Position

A. Limited power and physical distance

The complainant says he never exercised technical or physical control over Becky, Anna, Sean, or Robert. He describes himself as an online participant behind a screen who could write or react but could not access the alleged channel, direct the group's real-world activity, or control their devices and choices.

B. Lack of informed consent

He admits that after recognizing some audience, he sometimes joked, performed, or hoped the attention might lead to an investor. His defense is that limited awareness did not authorize private screen interception, file access, webcam capture, publication, or the use of nonpublic material to provoke and frame him.

C. Reactions did not authorize Becky's alleged acts

Whatever words or reactions Becky may cite, they did not authorize her to participate in monitoring, sexual humiliation, concealment, retaliation against the complainant's mother, or a misleading legal narrative. The relevant legal question is Becky's own alleged conduct, knowledge, intent, assistance, and continuation after the complainant sought resolution.

D. Settlement, withdrawal, and repeated efforts to end conflict

He states that he contacted Becky and Anna about settlement, later dropped complaints, abandoned settlement demands, tried to save members of the group from severe punishment, and repeatedly attempted to move on. These acts are offered to show severance and notice: Becky allegedly knew he wanted the course to stop, yet later conduct continued.

E. Separate stages must not be collapsed

The complainant distinguishes the early Anna-related conflict from later investment, political, and idea disputes. For Becky, the material sequence is early Quora participation, apparent screen knowledge, sexualized relays, the video-period warning, settlement and withdrawal, the later cryonics episode, and alleged renewed framing. Acts by later public figures do not prove Becky's participation.

VI. Cumulative Analysis

No single ambiguous upvote proves the full allegation. The cumulative theory depends on convergence among several independent facts: Becky was allegedly one of the complainant's main early relay contacts; she appeared to know private screen content; she allegedly transmitted intimate and humiliating information tailored to his vulnerabilities; Anna was allegedly at Becky's home during continued indirect contact; Becky allegedly issued a relay near the time of the video release; settlement efforts placed her on notice; later conduct allegedly targeted the complainant's specifically disclosed fear for his mother; and Becky allegedly remained silent or aligned with a reversed chronology after the complainant attempted to end the conflict.

If authenticated records connect those events, the pattern could support an inference of knowing participation in a coordinated course rather than accidental association. The inference becomes stronger if Becky's messages show planning, approval, receipt of captured content, instructions, efforts to provoke a reaction, discussion of the complainant's mother, coordination after settlement, or concealment when proceedings were anticipated.

VII. Evidence Preservation and Investigation Requests

1. **Identity and account mapping:** identify Becky's legal name, locations, email addresses, Quora and other usernames, devices, phone numbers, and accounts used during the relevant period.
2. **Quora records:** preserve posts, answers, comments, upvotes, direct messages, login history, IP information, account exports, email notifications, moderation actions, deletions, and shared administrators for Becky and the early group.
3. **Desktop-wallpaper relay:** locate the exact Becky item referring to the library or table image; compare its timestamp with the original desktop file, prior public posts, and the first known audience access.
4. **Complete group communications:** obtain full threads among Becky, Anna, Sean, Robert, and Evelyn, including messages concerning Anna's sexual activity, the complainant's reactions, monitoring, webcam or video material, and publication.
5. **Household and visit evidence:** establish when Anna stayed at or visited Becky's home, who else was present, what devices and accounts were used, and what purpose was discussed.
6. **Video chronology:** identify the alleged warning relay, first upload, uploader account, original file, metadata, recipients, and every message showing Becky's knowledge before or after publication.

7. **Settlement records:** preserve the complainant's requests to Becky and Anna, Becky's full response, any discussion with parents, any police or platform complaint, and the date the complainant withdrew or abandoned the matter.
8. **Pregnancy and financial request:** identify Robert's alleged request, Becky's knowledge or approval, the timing of the pregnancy, and any communication connecting financial expectation to later hostility.
9. **Cryonics records:** obtain facility visitor and contact logs, travel records where lawfully available, communications about the complainant's mother, and the precise source and timing of the alleged threat relays.
10. **Statements to authorities or third parties:** identify every statement Becky made to police, platforms, courts, employers, media, or other decision-makers about the complainant; preserve the complete context and recipient.
11. **Formal proceedings:** obtain case numbers, complaints, orders, judgments, or police occurrence records for any claimed proceeding. Do not treat perceived relays about convictions as official records.
12. **Witness interviews:** interview Becky, Anna, Sean, Robert, Evelyn, and other early viewers separately before showing them a shared narrative. Compare independent recollection, dates, phrases, and technical details.
13. **Preservation history:** determine which relevant accounts or posts were deleted, by whom, when, under what policy, and after what notice of a dispute or investigation.
14. **Damages and causation:** document contemporaneous distress, medical effects, lost work, reputational publication, safety concerns, and the portion causally attributable to Becky's proven conduct.

VIII. Conditional United States Legal Screening

This section identifies provisions for counsel or investigators to screen. It does not declare that their elements are satisfied. The location of Becky, the complainant, servers, devices, communications, and alleged acts must be established. Canadian and provincial law may also apply to conduct or harm occurring in Canada.

A. Unauthorized computer access: 18 U.S.C. Section 1030

Section 1030 prohibits several forms of unauthorized computer access, acquisition of information, fraud, and damage, with subsection-specific intent, authorization, interstate, value, damage, and loss requirements. Becky's apparent knowledge of a private desktop image does not itself prove access. The provision becomes relevant if records show that she personally accessed a protected computer without authorization, exceeded authorized access, conspired to do so, or intentionally assisted conduct falling within a specific subsection. [Official U.S. Code: 18 U.S.C. Section 1030](#)

B. Interception, disclosure, or use of electronic communications: 18 U.S.C. Section 2511

Section 2511 addresses intentional interception or procurement of interception and, in defined circumstances, intentional disclosure or use of contents known or reasonably known to have been obtained through unlawful interception. The statute contains definitions and exceptions, including consent and communications readily accessible to the public. It may warrant screening if Becky's relay derived from contemporaneously intercepted private electronic communications or if she procured, disclosed, or used such contents with the required knowledge. A desktop image or stored file is not automatically an intercepted communication under this section. [Official U.S. Code: 18 U.S.C. Section 2511](#)

C. Unlawful access to stored communications: 18 U.S.C. Section 2701

Section 2701 addresses intentional unauthorized access to, or exceeding authorization to access, a facility through which an electronic communication service is provided, where the conduct obtains, alters, or prevents authorized access to a communication in electronic storage. It may be relevant if Becky accessed private messages, stored account content, or a restricted group without authorization. Receipt of information from another person, without access to the service facility, requires separate analysis. [Official U.S. Code: 18 U.S.C. Section 2701](#)

D. Aiding and abetting: 18 U.S.C. Section 2

Section 2 provides that a person who aids, abets, counsels, commands, induces, or procures a federal offense, or willfully causes an act that would be an offense if directly performed, may be punishable as a principal. Association or knowledge alone is insufficient. Investigators would need proof that Becky intentionally assisted a specific federal offense and sought to facilitate its commission. This provision is relevant to allegations that she supplied a location, account, device, encouragement, warning, or use of illegally obtained material. [Official U.S. Code: 18 U.S.C. Section 2](#)

E. Interstate stalking and cyberstalking: 18 U.S.C. Section 2261A

Section 2261A contains specific jurisdictional, intent, course-of-conduct, fear, and substantial-emotional-distress elements. A sustained electronic course intended to harass, intimidate, injure, or place a person under surveillance may warrant screening when the required interstate or federal nexus and effects are proved. Ambiguous public posts, isolated insults, or subjective relay interpretations do not establish the offense. The relevant evidence would be authenticated targeted communications, continued conduct after notice, coordination, and documented impact. [Official U.S. Code: 18 U.S.C. Section 2261A](#)

F. Witness or evidence tampering: 18 U.S.C. Section 1512

Section 1512 applies to specified intimidation, threats, corrupt persuasion, misleading conduct, evidence alteration or concealment, and obstruction connected to an official proceeding or communications to federal law enforcement. A general refusal to speak, an online disagreement, or an incomplete personal account is not automatically witness tampering. Screening is appropriate only if Becky knowingly

engaged in prohibited conduct with the required intent concerning a qualifying proceeding, evidence, testimony, or communication. [Official U.S. Code: 18 U.S.C. Section 1512](#)

G. Destruction or falsification of records in a federal matter: 18 U.S.C. Section 1519

Section 1519 concerns knowing alteration, destruction, concealment, covering up, falsification, or false entries in records or objects with intent to impede, obstruct, or influence a matter within federal agency jurisdiction or in relation to or contemplation of such a matter. Missing Quora posts do not establish this offense. It would require proof that Becky controlled or affected the records and acted with the statute's federal-matter intent. [Official U.S. Code: 18 U.S.C. Section 1519](#)

H. Civil privacy, defamation, and emotional-distress theories

State civil claims may include intrusion upon seclusion, public disclosure of private facts, defamation, intentional infliction of emotional distress, civil conspiracy, and claims arising from unauthorized use or distribution of private material. Their elements, privileges, limitation periods, and jurisdiction vary by state. The precise statement, publication, audience, falsity, fault, privacy expectation, agreement, causation, and damages must be identified. Federal electronic-privacy statutes also contain civil-remedy provisions in defined circumstances, including 18 U.S.C. Sections 2520 and 2707.

IX. Requested Determinations

The complainant requests fair determination of the following Becky-specific questions:

1. Which Quora and other accounts did Becky control, and what direct communications did she make?
2. How did Becky know about the nonpublic desktop image, and did she know the information came from unauthorized monitoring?
3. What sexualized or humiliating relays did Becky send, and what did she intend their effect to be?
4. Why did Anna come to Becky's home, what technology or accounts were used there, and what did Becky witness?
5. Did Becky warn of, encourage, assist, conceal, or later use the alleged video publication?
6. What settlement communication occurred, what did Becky understand the complainant to be withdrawing, and why did contact allegedly continue?
7. Did Becky know of or approve Robert's alleged request for financial support, and did it relate to later hostility?
8. What, if anything, did Becky do concerning the cryonics facility or the complainant's mother?
9. What statements did Becky later make about the complainant, and did she knowingly omit material initiating facts?
10. Did Becky preserve relevant records and respond truthfully to any official request, complaint, or proceeding?
11. Which acts were Becky's own, which did she intentionally assist, which did she oppose, and which were performed by others without her agreement?
12. What proportion of the alleged harm is causally attributable to Becky's proven conduct?

The requested remedies are preservation and production of records; direct access to any real proceeding affecting the complainant; correction or removal of proven false or unauthorized material; lawful restitution or damages where established; and individualized determination by competent authorities. Punishment should be selected only by the lawful decision-maker after jurisdiction, elements, defenses, and evidence are assessed.

X. Closing Statement

The 27 source articles present a coherent Becky-specific course for investigation: recurring indirect communication; apparent knowledge of private screen content; sexualized provocation; proximity to Anna during continued indirect contact; an alleged warning near the video incident; notice through settlement efforts; later synchronization with Sean and Robert concerning a precisely known family vulnerability; continuation after the complainant attempted to forgive and withdraw; and silence or alignment while the initiating chronology was allegedly reversed. Original records are required to determine the full extent of Becky's personal participation, assistance, and agreement.

Fair assessment requires neither automatic belief nor automatic dismissal. Becky should not be assigned another person's act merely because she was a friend, host, spouse, or member of the same online circle. The complainant should not be deprived of investigation merely because he received communications indirectly and lacked access to the underlying channel. The proper inquiry is factual and individualized: accounts, access, knowledge, intent, assistance, timing, preservation, statements, and harm.

The complainant's position is that Becky had repeated opportunities to disengage, communicate directly, preserve the truth, and stop after settlement and withdrawal. He alleges that she instead remained within a coordinated course that exploited his isolation and continued targeting his life. The law should determine whether the records support that allegation and impose only the remedies justified by Becky's own proven conduct.