

COURT OF JUSTICE

Statement and Request for Investigation

Anna Sharudenko (identity and full legal name to be verified)

Concerning Anna Sharudenko and the 2022-2024 Observations

Source reviewed: combinedAnna.txt | 430 substantive source units | 39,607 raw lines

Prepared July 1, 2026 from combinedAnna.txt

Status: Allegation summary, evidence map, and investigative lead memorandum. This document supports lawful review; it does not determine guilt or punishment.

Contents

1. Scope and Method
2. Preliminary Conditional Statement
3. Executive Summary
4. Subject Identification and Attribution Limits
5. Alleged Original Cyberbullying, Hacking, and Channel Creation
6. Becky, Robert, and Sean as Alleged Coordinated Participants
7. Relays, Upvotes, and Hidden-Channel Evidence
8. Public Display, Bathroom Privacy, and Being Kept Blind
9. Negligence, Involvement, and Reassessment Concerns
10. Retaliation, Defamation, and Framing Allegations
11. Mother, Facility, and Family-Vulnerability Allegations
12. Adam, Quora, and Platform Continuation
13. Uncertainty, Fairness, and Relay Discipline
14. Distress Language and Risk Handling
15. Legal Mapping for Authorities
16. Evidence Preservation and Investigation Requests
17. Conclusion
18. Appendix A. Source Index
19. Appendix B. Official U.S. Authorities Consulted

Source Extraction Summary

Metric	Result
Uploaded source reviewed	combinedAnna.txt
Raw source length	39,607 lines / 1.42 MB
Detected source units	430
Substantive source units	430
Anna / Sharudenko direct themes	430 source-unit hits
Assessment, investigation, judge, jury, court, trial, authority, law, evidence, conviction, or offense themes	283 source-unit hits
Becky, Robert, or Sean themes	155 source-unit hits
Relay, upvote, message, channel, Twitter, tweet, YouTube, or direct-communication themes	294 source-unit hits
Blindness, public display, camera, screen, monitoring, surveillance, or privacy themes	236 source-unit hits
Harassment, harm, attack, abuse, bullying, threat, stalking, target, victim, or danger themes	356 source-unit hits
Mother, family, sister, husband, facility, or cryonics themes	181 source-unit hits
Corruption, dismissal, cover-up, framing, lies, gaslighting, propaganda, or deleted-record themes	298 source-unit hits
Negligence, involvement, intent, intention, or criminal themes	221 source-unit hits
Relationship, marriage, dating, girlfriend, sexual, or age-context themes	84 source-unit hits
Money, payment, support, rent, welfare, reward, funding, buy, or pay themes	206 source-unit hits
Death, suicide, kill, pain, torture, murder, retaliation, war, or severe-distress themes	241 source-unit hits
Maybe, perhaps, doubt, if, guess, uncertain, assume, or probably themes	393 source-unit hits
Sorry, apology, fairness, forgive, peace, please, or help themes	293 source-unit hits

Scope and Method

This report synthesizes the reporting party's 2022-2024 observations concerning Anna Sharudenko, alleged relays or channels, public-display and hacking claims, and the alleged joint role of Becky, Robert, Sean, Adam, and other participants.

The extraction reviewed combinedAnna.txt and identified 430 substantive source units. The report groups recurring fact patterns and identifies high-signal source IDs rather than reproducing every statement.

The report is written as an allegation and investigative-lead memorandum. It supports evidence preservation and lawful assessment; it is not a finding that any person committed a crime.

Preliminary Conditional Statement

All factual assertions below are the reporting party's observations, interpretations, and allegations. If these events occurred as described, they should be tested through platform logs, device records, witness statements, account histories, court records, direct messages, and preserved source files.

The archive contains strong language about guilt, punishment, conviction, corruption, and severe distress. This report treats that language as evidence of perceived danger and need for lawful review, not as an independent determination of guilt or punishment.

Relay-based evidence must be handled carefully. A relay, upvote, thumbnail, public post, or inferred signal may be a lead, but it becomes stronger only when authorship, audience, timestamp, targeting, and relationship to offline conduct are authenticated.

Executive Summary

The central allegation is that Anna initiated or fronted a pattern of cyberbullying, humiliation, hacking, public display, and channel-based harassment, while Becky, Robert, and Sean allegedly knew, participated, or later used Anna as a front.

The reporting party repeatedly argues that the case cannot be judged fairly without his explanation of relays, upvotes, online/offline context, and the sequence of Anna-related communications. He says dismissing the archive as conspiracy or ego would erase the actual evidence of harm.

The strongest immediate request is preservation: preserve the original channel records, upvotes, Quora/Twitter/YouTube links, device-access logs, deletion histories, communications among Anna, Becky, Robert, Sean, Adam, and any authority or platform actor who reviewed the matter.

Subject Identification and Attribution Limits

The subject is Anna Sharudenko as named in the uploaded archive. The file often discusses Anna together with Becky, Robert, Sean, Adam, and public authorities; this report includes those actors only where they explain the alleged Anna-linked pattern.

The strongest Anna-specific branches are 0073, 0108, 0238, 0554, 0717, 0795, 0944, 1030, 125498484, 1256485498, and 1278. These sources should be read with the full file because the reporting party's logic depends on timing and accumulated relay context.

Attribution must be verified. Investigators should distinguish Anna's direct actions, actions allegedly taken by Becky/Robert/Sean, actions by Adam or platform operators, government activity, unrelated public posts, and the reporting party's interpretation of ambiguous signals.

Alleged Original Cyberbullying, Hacking, and Channel Creation

Sources 125498484 and 1256485498 allege that Anna's cyberbullying spread across a mass audience and that software or coding was used by illegal means to humiliate the reporting party. Source 0717 links the alleged period to hacking, abuse, and humiliation while Anna lived with Becky.

The reporting party says he lacked money, coding knowledge, and access to the channel, leaving him unable to identify or stop the source of public humiliation. He frames Anna as the original

front or initiating actor in a pattern later exploited by others.

Investigators should preserve device images, account-access logs, malware or remote-access indicators, platform account histories, upload records, channel creation records, IP/device data, and communications showing who created, controlled, or distributed any alleged channel.

Becky, Robert, and Sean as Alleged Coordinated Participants

Sources 0073, 0108, 0238, 0717, 0795, 0944, 1023, 1030, and 1239 repeatedly group Becky, Robert, and Sean with Anna. The reporting party alleges they knew enough to stop the abuse but instead participated, went along with it, or used Anna as a front.

The central factual question is whether their conduct was mere negligence, passive bystanding, or active involvement. Source 0108 explicitly frames that as the key assessment issue.

A fair assessment should compare direct communications, relays, posts, videos, location/proximity evidence, facility-related events, and any records showing whether each person knew of the alleged public-channel harm and what each person did afterward.

Relays, Upvotes, and Hidden-Channel Evidence

Sources 0073, 0108, 0238, 0376, 0486, 0979, 1114, and 1133 emphasize that relays, upvotes, and online/offline timing are crucial to interpreting intent. The reporting party says outside authorities cannot fairly judge the matter without his explanation of those communications.

The file presents relays as both evidence and a vulnerability. They allegedly reveal knowledge and intent, but they are also ambiguous enough that information can be cherry-picked or dismissed if the reporting party is excluded.

Investigators should preserve raw links, account handles, timestamps, upvote records, comment histories, thumbnails, recommendation histories, channel membership, viewer lists, deletion logs, and exported platform data in chronological form.

Public Display, Bathroom Privacy, and Being Kept Blind

Sources 0554 and 1278 state that the reporting party believes he was monitored or publicly displayed, including in bathroom or private spaces. Source 1004 states that equal access to the channel was necessary because humiliation and harassment were not justified by any authority theory.

The reporting party repeatedly says he was blind to the channel, could not see accusations, and could not defend himself while others watched, relayed, or acted on incomplete information.

This branch requires objective records: camera/screen sources, channel access records, viewer identity, moderation records, device logs, platform logs, and any evidence showing who authorized, distributed, viewed, preserved, or deleted private material.

Negligence, Involvement, and Reassessment Concerns

Sources 0073 and 0108 ask whether previous investigation data was transferred into a later assessment and whether the correct issue is criminal negligence or involvement following harassment. The reporting party says his presence and explanation are necessary to assess intent.

Sources 0301, 0345, 0486, and 1114 fear that new authorities, deleted writings, or cherry-picked records allowed Anna-linked participants to avoid consequence. The report treats those concerns as evidence-preservation and due-process issues.

Authorities should locate any prior reports, charging records, dismissals, reassessments, court files, platform referrals, or investigative notes and compare them against the full source archive before accepting a narrowed version of events.

Retaliation, Defamation, and Framing Allegations

Sources 0224, 0467, 0769, 0795, 0979, 1030, and 1239 allege retaliation, slander, defamation, social subjugation, and attempts to frame the reporting party after he spoke about Anna and related actors.

The reporting party argues that later attacks cannot be excused by his allegations because the abuse began before those later allegations and because he had already attempted forgiveness or resolution.

Investigators should compare the timeline of original Anna-linked conduct, the reporting party's later statements, and any retaliatory posts, threats, reputation attacks, or law-enforcement narratives allegedly created afterward.

Mother, Facility, and Family-Vulnerability Allegations

Sources 0990, 1016, and 1023 connect Anna-linked participants and Sean/facility allegations to the reporting party's mother, the cryonics facility, and attempts to target him through what remained most important to him.

The report does not treat every feared event as proven. It treats the branch as a safety and records issue because the reporting party states that attackers used family or facility vulnerabilities to increase psychological pressure.

Investigators should preserve facility communications, visitor records, payment/refund records, posts referencing the mother or facility, and communications involving Anna, Becky, Robert, Sean, Puckett, or other named actors.

Adam, Quora, and Platform Continuation

Sources 0125, 0230, 0831, 0992, 1151, 1221, and 1273 describe Adam or Quora-related continuation of the original Anna pattern. The reporting party alleges that visible abuse should have been reported, not monitored, amplified, or used to harm him.

This report includes Adam and platform issues only as context for Anna because the archive repeatedly says Anna's conduct spread through a channel and later platform actors allegedly kept the reporting party blind.

Investigators should preserve Quora records, staff-access logs, abuse reports, moderation histories, deleted posts, account access, device events, screenshots, and any referrals to police or authorities.

Uncertainty, Fairness, and Relay Discipline

Theme counts found uncertainty language in 393 of 430 source units and fairness/help/apology language in 293 units. That matters: the reporting party often flags doubt, memory limits, or the need for authorities to check the records.

The report should not dismiss the file because it contains uncertainty, and should not treat every relay interpretation as proven. Both mistakes would distort the evidence.

The fairest process is to preserve the raw chronology, let the reporting party explain his relay logic, then test each claim against platform records and witness evidence.

Distress Language and Risk Handling

The archive includes severe anger, punishment language, death/suicide references, and intense distress. The report does not endorse threats or extrajudicial punishment.

The lawful significance is impact and safety: the reporting party perceived prolonged public humiliation, being kept blind, family/facility targeting, and official dismissal as existential harm.

Authorities should separate immediate safety needs from rhetorical distress, and separate distress from the underlying records he asks them to preserve and test.

Legal Mapping for Authorities

18 U.S.C. 2261A and 875 may be relevant only if authenticated interstate or foreign communications show stalking, surveillance, threats, fear, or substantial emotional distress with the required intent and jurisdictional facts.

18 U.S.C. 1030 may be relevant only if unauthorized computer or account access occurred. The archive alleges hacking and public display, but the statute requires proof of access, authorization status, protected-computer facts, damage, loss, or obtained information.

18 U.S.C. 1343 and 371 may be relevant only if there was a wire-fraud scheme or an agreement to commit a federal offense or defraud the United States, plus the required acts and intent.

18 U.S.C. 1512, 1519, and 1001 may be relevant only if there are official-proceeding, federal-investigation, federal-record, or federal-jurisdiction predicates involving intimidation, misleading conduct, record destruction, false entries, or false statements.

Evidence Preservation and Investigation Requests

Preserve all original platform material involving Anna, Becky, Robert, Sean, Adam, and the reporting party: comments, upvotes, messages, videos, thumbnails, recommendations, channel links, account handles, timestamps, edits, and deletions.

Preserve device and account evidence: remote-access indicators, login records, IP/device logs, file-modification dates, screenshots, malware reports, exported account archives, and any records showing who controlled the alleged channel.

Preserve court or authority records: prior reports, reassessments, charging or dismissal records, witness statements, internal notes, referral emails, and any records showing whether the reporting party's earlier writings disappeared or were excluded.

Interview the reporting party with the source archive available so he can map relays, upvotes, and chronology. Then interview Anna, Becky, Robert, Sean, Adam/platform witnesses, facility

witnesses, and any authority actors who handled earlier assessments.

Conclusion

The Anna archive supports a focused investigation into alleged original cyberbullying, hacking or channel creation, public display, hidden-channel relays, Becky/Robert/Sean involvement, and whether later assessments omitted or deleted necessary context.

The strongest lawful request is preservation and independent chronology. Investigators should not rely on a narrowed narrative while the reporting party says he was blind to the channel and excluded from explaining the evidence.

A fair outcome requires separating Anna's alleged direct acts from bystander conduct, platform conduct, government conduct, and ambiguous public relays, while preserving the complete record before more evidence is lost.

Appendix A. Source Index

Source ID	Observation Use
0073	Assessment-transfer branch: asks whether the prior investigation data was moved into a new assessment concerning Becky, Robert, Sean, and Anna, and stresses that relays/upvotes are necessary evidence.
0108	Negligence-versus-involvement branch: frames the central issue as whether conduct was criminal negligence or active involvement that followed harassment.
0125	Platform-awareness branch: says abuse was visible, the reporting party was homeless and blind to the channel, and the abuse should have been reported.
0143	Control-and-prolonging branch: describes repeated cycles of control, exploitation, and refusal to reach a truce while the reporting party was helpless and targeted.
0224	Sister/husband and Anna-communication branch: says online statements may have been communication toward Anna and links later retaliation to slander and hostility.
0230	Monitor-conflict branch: says a harmful participant should not have been the person monitoring the situation and alleges dismissal of earlier harms.
0238	Becky/Robert/Sean relay branch: says relays from Becky and Robert, and Sean's written/video involvement, should be matched to Anna-related events.
0301	Punishment/dismissal branch: asks whether Anna, Becky, and Sean escaped consequences because information was cherry-picked despite long-duration harm.
0345	Abusive-share and lost-record branch: says evidence was diluted over time, prior statements disappeared, and new authorities may have dismissed charges without context.
0376	Release-the-records branch: asks for all exchanges among Becky, Sean, Robert, Anna, and the reporting party to be released or preserved for public/official review.
0467	Oblivious-to-attacks branch: says the reporting party did not anticipate hostility from Anna, Sean, and others and asks the law to view evidence from his perspective.
0486	Witness-speak-out branch: asks people who saw events from the beginning to say what Anna, Becky, Robert, and Sean did and what charges or findings existed.
0554	Bathroom-public-display branch: alleges ongoing public display in the bathroom and says, if not government action, Anna is the most likely actor based on prior conduct.
0717	Anna/Becky household branch: says Anna lived with Becky during hacking, humiliation, and abuse, and that Robert and Sean went along with it.
0746	Severe-distress branch: names Anna, Becky, Robert, Sean, and Adam as people who allegedly put him in the situation and records intense anger and distress.
0795	Control-and-front branch: alleges Sean, Becky, and Robert used Anna as a front while attacking a blind, hacked, public-channel situation.
0831	Adam/Quora continuation branch: says Adam allowed spread from Anna, failed to call authorities, and later joined or amplified humiliation and monitoring.
0944	Involvement-over-negligence branch: says Becky, Robert, and Sean used Anna as a front and continued harassment as active involvement, not mere negligence.
0946	Proximity/helper branch: asks whether people in proximity ignored requests for help despite awareness of abuse and Anna-related circumstances.
0979	Sean-threat relay branch: describes Sean-related relays, insults, and perceived threats connected to Anna-group conduct.

Source ID	Observation Use
0990	Mother-target branch: says attacks involving Robert, Becky, Sean, and Anna targeted the reporting party through his mother's condition.
0992	Initial-spread branch: says Adam ignored the original Anna spamming/channel issue, then broader humiliation, defamation, and monitoring continued.
1004	Rights-and-equal-access branch: states that bullying, harassment, and abuse were never acceptable and asks for equal access to the channel/evidence.
1016	Mother-value branch: says devaluing the mother or what remains of her should not reduce the seriousness of alleged targeting.
1023	Sean/facility branch: alleges Sean made threats and went to the cryonics facility with Puckett and Becky after prior Anna-front harassment.
1030	Timeline-and-excuse branch: says Anna, Becky, Robert, and Sean began attacks before later allegations, and that later excuses should not erase the origin.
1114	New-team/deleted-record branch: fears a new team is judging actions after previous writings vanished and warns against rulings without the reporting party's assessment.
1133	Emotional-collapse branch: records severe mental decline and asks earlier authorities to submit evidence, records, and relay interpretations.
1181	Bystander/proximity branch: says people who could have stopped the abuse stood aside and supported Anna-group wrongdoing.
1221	Authority-charge branch: asks authorities to charge a person who allegedly could have helped at the beginning when the reporting party sought police help.
1233	Age/fear context branch: distinguishes later sexualized relays from the original Anna incident and says the reporting party expressed fear publicly.
1239	Excuse-and-motive branch: says Anna, Becky, Robert, and Sean cannot excuse later attacks as self-protection after their alleged initial wrongdoing.
125498484	Original-cyberbullying branch: says Anna's cyberbullying spread broadly and that Becky, Robert, and Sean knew but did not stop it.
1256485498	Illegal-software branch: alleges Anna programmed/coded by illegal means to humiliate the reporting party while he lacked money and computer literacy.
1273	Authorities/hack branch: fears Adam and authorities cherry-picked reasons to hack the computer and deleted earlier writings to favor themselves.
1278	Public-display felony branch: states that ongoing public display and bathroom monitoring are not consent and should be treated as ongoing harassment.
yuut876	Diminished-value branch: says isolation and public diminution of value worsened the reporting party's disadvantage and loss of future prospects.

Appendix B. Official U.S. Authorities Consulted

These sources were consulted as legal routing references. They do not prove that any statute applies without jurisdictional facts and evidence.

18 U.S.C. 2261A - Stalking and cyberstalking:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=2023&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-2023-title18-section2261A>

18 U.S.C. 875 - Interstate and foreign communications containing threats:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section875>

18 U.S.C. 1030 - Fraud and related activity in connection with computers:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=%28title%3A18+section%3A1030+edition%3Aprelim>

18 U.S.C. 1343 - Wire fraud:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section1343>

18 U.S.C. 371 - Conspiracy to commit an offense or defraud the United States:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section371>

18 U.S.C. 1512 - Tampering with a witness, victim, or informant:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&hl=false&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-1994-title18-section1512>

18 U.S.C. 1519 - Destruction, alteration, or falsification of records: <https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&f=treesort&jumpTo=true&num=0&os=eelt2&req=%28title%3A18+section%3A1519+edition%3Aprelim%29+OR+%28granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section1519%29>

18 U.S.C. 1001 - False statements in matters within U.S. jurisdiction:

<https://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?edition=prelim&num=0&req=granuleid%3AUSC-prelim-title18-section1001>